

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಹೆಚ್. ಜಯಪ್ರಕಾಶನಾರಾಯಣ್.—ಒಬ್ಬರೇ ಒಬ್ಬರು ಇದ್ದಾರೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇವರನ್ನಾದರೂ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿ ದರ್ಜೆಗೆ ಏರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್.ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಒಬ್ಬರು ಜಾಯಾಟು ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಗಳಾಗಿ ಈಗ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರನ್ನು ಕಳೆದ ವೇಳೆಗೆ ಮಾಡಿ ತಕ್ಷಣ ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಲು ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇಂತಹ ವಿಷಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ, ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಅನುಭವ ಇತ್ಯಾದಿಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ನೇಮಕಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇವೆಲ್ಲವನ್ನೂ ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡಿ ತಕ್ಕ ಏರ್ಪಾಡುಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಆಗಲೇ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಈಗ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೋತ್ತರಗಳ ವೇಳೆಯಾಯಿತು.

### Adjournment Motion : *Re* : The Mysore Delegation to Japan to visit Expo—'70.

#### *Leave to Move*

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Harihara).—I beg leave of the House to move an Adjournment Motion that stands in my name regarding the EXPO Trip sponsored by the Government of Mysore.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The hon. Member Sri Siddaveerappa has given a notice of an Adjournment motion. Similar notices have been given by a number of hon. Members. I shall read out their names. They are :

#### Sriyuths :

- 1 H. Siddaveerappa
- 2 M. Mallikarjuna Swamy
- 3 T. R. Shamanna
- 4 Vatal Nagaraj
- 5 A. R. Badri Narayan
- 6 M. S. Krishnan
- 7 M. Nagappa
- 8 D. B. Kalmankar
- 9 B. B. Sayanak
- 10 N. A. Channe Gowda
- 11 B. P. Gangadhar
- 12 P. V. Aithala
- 13 D. S. Nadakarni
- 14 S. Bangarappa
- 15 H. N. Nanje Gowda
- 16 B. Bhaskar Shetty
- 17 C. M. S. Sastry

In view of the peculiar situation has arisen regarding the EXPO-'70 Delegation and the amount of interest it has created throughout the State, I consider it necessary to give my consent to the adjournment motion of one hon. Member. I have adopted the method of considering the notices of motions in order of times they have been received by me. So I have given consent to the motion of Sri H. Siddaveerappa as it is the first I have received in point of time.

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್ (ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಸಭೆ ಸೇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಎರಡು ಗಂಟೆ ಮುಂಚಿತವಾಗಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿರುವ ನಿಯಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಇದೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳು ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅದುದರಿಂದ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಸಿದ್ದೇಶ್ವರಪ್ಪನವರು ಮೊದಲಾಗಿ ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎನ್ನುವಂತೆ ತಾವು ಹೇಳುವ ಪಾದವನ್ನು ನಾನು ಎರೋಧ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಅವರು ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಒಬ್ಬರಾಗಿ ಸೂಚನೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ತಾವು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಕೊಡುವಾಗ ಅವರೊಬ್ಬರಿಗೇ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ. ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ತಾವು ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮೊದಲು ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಿ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಅಭ್ಯಂತರವೇನೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ತಮ್ಮನ್ನು ನಾವು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಯಾರಾರು ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೋ ಅವಕ್ಕಿಲ್ಲ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಅವರೆಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಆದರೆ ಒಬ್ಬರು ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗಿಂತ ಮೊದಲು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ಎಂದು ತಾವು ಹೇಳುವುದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ. ಇಂತಹ ತಮ್ಮ ಪಾದಕ್ಕೆ ನಾವು ಪ್ರತಿಭಟನೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಸರಿ ಸಮಾನವಾದ ಅವಕಾಶವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕಳಕಳಿಯಿಂದ ವಿನಂತಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ನಾನು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ತಾವು ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ತಮಗೆ ನಾನು ಹೇಳುವುದನ್ನು ಕೇಳುವಷ್ಟು ಸಾವಧಾನ ಕೂಡ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಮೊದಲಿಗೆ ನಾನು ಹೇಳುವ ಮಾತನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿ. ಅನಂತರ ಹೇಳುವರಂತೆ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡು ಗಂಟೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಅಥವಾ ಮೂರು ಗಂಟೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಕೊಡಬಹುದು ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಮೂರು ದಿನಗಳ ಮುಂಚೆ ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದರೂ ನಾನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇದು ಆಪ್ತ ಮುಖ್ಯವಲ್ಲ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಯಮದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವರೆಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

I have given my consent to the motion of Sri Siddaveerappa. The other hon. members who have given similar notices are also welcome to participate in the debate and offer their comments.

The other method was to hold a ballot for selecting the motion.

† Sri S. SIVAPPA (Sravanabelagola).—Mr. Speaker Sir, according to the information given by you about 17 adjournment motions have been tabled on the issue of Mysore Delegation to EXPO-1970. According to the Rules, notice of adjournment motion should be given two hours before the commencement of the day's sitting. There is nothing like 'first come, first served'. I know the procedure followed in the Lok Sabha. Therefore if there are more than one adjournment motions and if they are allowed, they will be balloted. Since you have not ballotted in the present instance, you cannot say that the adjournment motion of only one hon. member is admitted. You can bracket the names of all the hon. Members who have given notices of the adjournment motions and say that all the adjournment motions of members bracketed, are admitted. While calling members to speak, you can serial them as 1, 2, 3, and so on. Sri Siddaveerappa may be called first or Sri Vatal Nagaraj may be called first. It is immaterial because all are bracketed. That is the feeling of the hon. Members. Their grievance is, when they have also sent adjournment motions in accordance with the Rules, all the adjournment motions stand on equal footing and as such, why consent should be given to the adjournment motion of only one member?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—It is said that the other method was to hold a ballot. If that suggestion had come earlier I would have been very happy to adopt it. But there is no specific procedure laid down in the rules.

2-30 P.M.

**Sri B. BHASKAR SHETTY (Kaup).**—There is no mention of time in the Rules. The rule clearly says that notice of adjournment motion shall be given to the Secretary two hours before the commencement of the session on the day on which the motion is proposed to be taken up. The rules do not say they can be given earlier and such earlier notices should be admitted first.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I have formally given my consent to the motion of Sri Siddaveerappa. That does not mean that the other hon. Members should not participate or speak on their motions. All those hon. Members who have given notice of their motions, will be permitted to speak on the motion. After all, the subject matter is the same.

**Sri B. BHASKAR SHETTY.**—Then the chair could first admit all the adjournment motions.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I do not understand what difference it is going to make.

**Sri B. P. GANGADHAR (Tumkur).**—If you say that only one motion will be admitted and the other hon. Members who have given notice of similar motions can only participate in the discussion, then virtually it means that the motions of the other hon. Members are ignored. If you say that only Sri Siddaveerappa's motion will be admitted and the other hon. Members who have sent notices of similar adjournment motions can only participate in the discussion, then one has to presume that you have disallowed their motions. You will agree that this is a matter which has created so much of tension throughout the State, especially in youth circles and so you have considered it a fit matter to be admitted as an adjournment motion. When several hon. Members have sent notice of similar adjournment motions on the same subject, you cannot say that you will admit only the motion of Sri Siddaveerappa and you will not admit the motions of the other hon. Members. If you do that, it will create a feeling that you are trying to discriminate between members and members or between senior and junior members. Therefore, I submit to you let all the motions be admitted as the subject matter is the same and let all those hon. Members have chances to discuss this matter and ventilate their grievances.

**Sri H. N. NANJE GOWDA (Arkalgud).**—Sir, under sub-rule (1) of rule 152 only one motion can be admitted for the whole of the sitting. Therefore all the motions cannot be admitted. Hitherto it was the practice that when there are more than one notice of adjournment motion on the same subject, the motion, notice of which was received

(SRI H. N. NANJE GOWDA)

earlier in point of time used to be admitted and accordingly the motion of Sri Siddaveerappa is admitted by you. Hitherto this was the convention of this House and I do not know what made Sri Sivappa to break this convention of this House. I cannot understand the special significance of today when all the hon. Members specially Sri Sivappa protest against the convention and the admission of the motion of Sri Siddaveerappa.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಎಕ್ಸ್ ಪೋ 70 ರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಮಾಡಿರತಕ್ಕ ದೊಡ್ಡ ಅನ್ಯಾಯ ಹಾಗೂ ಅಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚೆ ನಡೆಯಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರೂ ಮತ್ತು ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಆಸಕ್ತಿಯುಳ್ಳವರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಳಿತಿರುವ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪನವರು ಮೊದಲುಗೊಂಡು ಆ ಕೊನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಳಿತಿರತಕ್ಕ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ನಂಜೇಗೌಡರವರೇಗೂ ಅನೇಕರು ತಮಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಆ ಎರಡೂ ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳಲ್ಲೂ ವಿಷಯ ಮಾತ್ರ ಒಂದೇ ಆಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರತಕ್ಕ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳು ಕಾನೂನುಬದ್ಧವಾಗಿರುತ್ತವೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲ್ಲರ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆಯೂ ಒಂದೇ ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಒಂದೇ ನಿಲುವನ್ನು ತಾಳಿ ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ತಮ್ಮ ಕ್ರಿಯಾಲೋಪವನ್ನು ಯಾವ ರೂಲ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಂಡಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಅವರು ತಿಳಿಸಲೇ ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ನಾನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರತಕ್ಕ ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆ ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪನವರು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರತಕ್ಕ ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳೆರಡೂ ಒಂದೇ ಆಗಿವೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಎರಡನ್ನೂ ಒಪ್ಪಿದರೆ ತಮಗೆ ಯಾವ ಗಂಡಾಂತರವಾಗಲಿ ದುರಂತವಾಗಲಿ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಎಲ್ಲ ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳನ್ನೂ ಅಕ್ಸೆಪ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಚರ್ಚೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸೂಚಕರಿಗಿಲ್ಲ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri M. NAGAPPA (Raichur).—The Chair was pleased to observe that the motion which was received earlier from the point of time will be allowed. Under rule 51 a motion cannot be given 10 days earlier or 5 days earlier or even a day earlier. The motion is to be given on the day of 2 hours before the sitting. If it is not given within 2 hours, then the Chair cannot admit it, but the Chair cannot say that notice of motions can be given 10 days earlier.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I never said that.

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—What is mentioned in the rule is that it should be given 2 hours before the commencement of the sitting for the day and so all the motions received up to that time, should be on the same footing.

One of my friends just now said that hitherto the practice was that only one motion used to be admitted, but I would submit that hitherto the practice was that all the names were being clubbed along with the motion to be admitted as suggested by the Leader of the Opposition. I submit that now also the same practice may be followed by the Chair instead of creating any controversy on the floor of the House and that too particularly amongst Opposition members.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—As regards the point raised by the hon. Member Sri Nagappa even if a notice is given earlier than 2 hours, that can be admitted subject to the condition that the subject matter should be one of recent occurrence and of urgent public importance. I shall bring to your notice the practice followed in Lok Sabha, from a passage in M. N. Kaul and Shakdar, page 388, where it is stated that where notices of more than one adjournment motion received on the same subject are taken up and the matter sought to be raised is held in order, the Speaker normally gives his consent to the notice which is received first in point of time and the Speaker may in his discretion, give his consent to the notice which is properly framed although it may not be the first in point of time? Therefore it is a question of time. Now Sri Siddaveerappa will move his motion and I have no objection if the names of all the other hon. Members who have sent similar notices are appended to the motion. They will also be called upon to speak in support of their motion. That I think will settle the whole thing.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಬಂಗಾರಪ್ಪ (ಸೋರಬ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪನವರದು ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಯಾವಾಗ ಬಂತು, ನನ್ನದು ಯಾವಾಗ ಬಂತು, ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಚೈನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂತು ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Names are given in the order of time. The hon. Member may write to me and I shall find out.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಬಂಗಾರಪ್ಪ. —ನಾನು ಫೋನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ, ನಾನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ನುಮಾರು 50 ಗಂಟೆಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಆಗಿದೆ, ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ನೋಡಬೇಕು.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—This is the information supplied to me by my office.

**Sri M. NAGAPPA.**—Sir, such of the motions that were received earlier this day should be rejected and only such motions which have been received within two hours of the sitting of this House, should be accepted.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Mr. Bangarappa's notice was received just today.

**Sri S. BANGARAPPA.**—What about other notices?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I will read out :

Sri Siddaveerappa's notice was received on 18-9-1970 at 12 NOON.

Sri M. S. Krishnan's notice was received on 21-9-1970.

Sri M. Nagappa's notice was received on 21-9-1970 at 10-20 A.M.

Sri D. B. Kalmankar's notice was received on 21-9-1970 at  
10-20 A.M.

Sri Vatal Nagaraj's notice was received on 19-9-1970 at 3-45 P.M.

So, I have asked Sri Siddaveerappa to seek leave of the House first.

(Interruptions)

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಬಂಗಾರಪ್ಪ.—ತಮ್ಮ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯೇಟ್ ಅನಿವಾರವೂ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದೆಯೆಂದು ನಾನು ಭಾವನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಆ ದಿನ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯೇಟ್‌ಗೆ ರಜಾ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ನಾನು ಶುಕ್ರವಾರವೇ ಅವರಿಗೆ ದಿಂದ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅದು ತಮಗೆ ಅನಿವಾರ ತಲಪಲೇಬೇಕು. ಅದು ನಿಮಗೆ ಹೇಗೆ ಸೋಮವಾರ ಬಂತು ? ತಮ್ಮ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯೇಟ್ ಮಲಗಿಕೊಂಡಿತ್ತೋ ಅಥವಾ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ನಿಧಾನವಾಗಿ ಬಂತೋ, ಏನು ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು.

MR. SPEAKER.—My office has received it only on 21-9-1970.

SRI S. BANGARAPPA.—I posted it on Friday and it should have reached here on Saturday. How can you say that it reached you only today ?

MR. SPEAKER.—The member may enquire about it from the post office.

SRI S. BANGARAPPA.—It is not my concern.

MR. SPEAKER.—I will bracket the names of all the other members along with the name of Sri H. Siddaveerappa.

SRI S. BANGARAPPA.—May I know whether those members who have given notice will also have their say ?

MR. SPEAKER.—They will have it.

SRI H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I beg leave of the House to move the adjournment of the Assembly.

MR. SPEAKER.—Has the hon. Member leave of the House to move the adjournment of the Assembly ?

HON. MEMBERS.—Yes.

MR. SPEAKER.—The hon. Member has leave of the House to move his motion. Before we proceed with the Debate on this motion I will have to fix time. Now, there is some formal business to be done.

SRI K. H. PATIL.—Sir, when the House has given leave it should be taken up first.

MR. SPEAKER.—It is for the Speaker to fix the time. The Leader of the Opposition and the Leader of Congress (R) have agreed to this procedure. The secretary will now lay the report.

### Secretary's Report.

SECRETARY.—I beg to lay on the table a statement showing the Bills which have received the assent of the Governor or the President, as the case may be, subsequent to the report made during the previous session.